

Adaptable Mobile Applications through SATIN: Exploiting Logical Mobility in Mobile Computing Middleware

Stefanos Zachariadis, Cecilia Mascolo. Department of Computer Science University College London

http://www.cs.ucl.ac.uk/staff/s.zacha riadis

Thursday 25 September 2003



Physical Mobility

Ubiquity of mobile computing devices Laptops, PDAs, cellular phones · Variable connectivity

- Bluetooth, 802.11x, GSM/GPRS/CDMA/.../3G, infrared, docking
 - Nomadic, ad-hoc ...
 - Variable in cost and type

Numbers increasing

2002: 15.5 million PDAs, 2005: 700 million Bluetooth chips (Gartner)

•



Characteristics

·Limitations (compared to traditional computing)

- Memory, battery power, CPU power, erratic (expensive) connectivity
- · Improving but lagging behind still
- ·Different usage paradigms
 - · Input/output
 - · Speed, ease of use, frequent but brief usage
 - E.g. Check schedule
 - Reports show that users rarely install applications on mobile devices
 - Applications need to cater to users' needs throughout the device's lifetime



Characteristics (2)

- Heterogeneity!
 - Device/Hardware (Physical)
 - Software/Middleware (Logical)
 - Network
- Very dynamic environment



Logical Mobility

Ability to sent parts of an application (or migrate/clone a process) to another host Popularised by Java

- Classification into paradigms
 - Client/Server (CS)
 - Remote Evaluation (REV)
 - Code on Demand (COD)
 - Mobile Agents (MA)

 Various middleware (mobile & stationary) systems use it

Thursday 25 September 2003

•

•



Advantages of Logical Mobility

- Flexibility
 - Dynamic applications
 - For a Dynamic Environment?
 - For a Heterogeneous Environment?
- Automatic software update

Maintenance

- New abilities
- Use of remote resources

Thursday 25 September 2003



Motivation

Investigate the use of Logical Mobility by mobile applications

- Middleware

·

Prove that logical mobility can bring tangible benefits to mobile application developers and users

 Benefits include faster operation, less userinteraction, services offered based on context and location, reduced cost, better user experience



Deficiencies of Related Work

· Limited use of LM

- Usage of LM to provide reconfigurability to middleware
 - ReMMoC (Lancs), UIC (Ubicore.com)
 - Allows interaction with services provided by heterogeneous platforms/middleware systems
- Usage of particular LM paradigms to provide particular services to applications
 - LIME (Wustl) uses MA , PeerWare (Politecnico di Milano) uses REV , Jini (Sun) uses COD
- Others are not really geared for mobile networks
 - In Fargo-DA disconnections are announced



Current Mobile Application Engineering (PalmOS)

Event driven, single threaded applications
 Files (Applications & Data) stored in main memory (usually 8MB).

Files stored as databases (collection of records)
 Developers compile application into a single file (Palm Resource, PRC)

 Application data can be stored in multiple Palm database files (PDBs).



Current Mobile Application Engineering (2)

- Very limited use of libraries
- Applications have a unique identifier, Creator ID (4 bytes)
 - Registered on a central database
 - Identifies PRCs & PDBs to the OS



What's Wrong with this Model?

· Very limited code sharing

- On the device itself, between different devices
- Monolithic applications
- Difficult to update application
 - No versioning scheme for libraries
 - No standard way to know which PRCs a device in reach has.

• Difficulty to install applications

 Statistics suggest that majority of users never install any 3rd party application

Thursday 25 September 2003

•

•



Proposed Solution: SATIN

- Component based middleware
- Allows for static & dynamic configuration
- Small footprint
- Encourages decoupling of applications into modules
- Relies on developers following guidelines



Principles: Architecture

Modular

•

•

•

•

Stresses componentisation

- Including the middleware itself
- Component identification
 - Dependency scheme
 - Versioning scheme
 - Easy to transmit

Dynamic addition and removal of modules



Capabilities

•A SATIN component is a capability

- \cdot Ranges from applications to libraries
 - SATIN applications are collections of capabilities with an "executable" one.
- A capability provides some functionality to either the user or other capabilities.
- **Uniquely identified**

Provide a versioning scheme

· Revisions of a capability

Provide a Dependency Scheme

- ·Middleware is a Collection of Capabilities
 - · Advertising and Discovery



Logical Mobility in SATIN

•Ability to encapsulate all LM paradigms to a Logical Mobility Unit (LMU)

- · Hosting environment
- · Requesting / sending
- · Deployment
 - · Containers, acceptance/rejection
- ·Language abstractions
 - · Objects, Classes, RPCs...
- Code which does not map directly to the underlying platform is data
 Group various LM entities together
 Signature
 Identification



Some Numbers

- Prototype
 - -J2SE
 - Personal Java & J2ME considered
- 40K dist/satin-20030714.jar
- 24K lib/kxml2.jar
- 40K lib/µcode.jar



Future Work

- ·Looking for the killer app
 - · Self-organisation
 - · Adaptable mobile computing is an instance
- ·Evaluation of approach
 - · New applications possible
 - Comparison to applications that don't use LM
 - Definition of "best"?
 - · Scalability



Conclusion

Physical Mobility

- · Increased popularity
- · Increased abilities

·Logical Mobility

- · Principles
- · Harness potential of mobile devices

·SATIN

- Superset of previous approaches
- Flexible use of LM to applications



Thank You!

http://www.cs.ucl.ac.uk/staff/s.zachariadis

{s.zachariadis,c.mascolo}@cs.ucl.ac.uk

Thursday 25 September 2003