

SATIN: Logical Mobility for Mobile Self-Organisation

Stefanos Zachariadis

http://www.cs.ucl.ac.uk/staff/s.zachariadis



Outline

- Background
- Component Model
- Middleware System
- Implementation
- Related Work
- Future Work
- Conclusion



Trends in (Mobile) Computing (Hardware)

- They are getting faster
- They are getting connected
- They are getting smaller
- They are getting everywhere



Trends in (Mobile) Computing (Software)

- Not much innovation
- Monolithic apps
- Lack of middleware
- Static apps



Trends in (Mobile) Computing (Example) 1997: 2003:

US Robotics Pilot 1000 Palm Tungsten T3





128KB 16MHz Serial 160x160BW

64MB 400MHz Serial/USB/Bluetooth/Infrared 320x480 24bit, Sound, Expansion



Trends in (Mobile) Computing (Example)

1997: 2003:

US Robotics Pilot 1000 Palm Tungsten T3

Aug 2, 2002	S	М	Т	W	Т	F	S
8:00							
9:00							
10:00							
11:00 Meeting w	ith To	m					
12:25							
1:00							
2:00							
4.00							
5.00							
6:00							
(Week) (Detai	ا (ا	Go	to	n			

15 Oc	t03 < 5 M I W I F 5 🕨
8:00	
9:00	
10:00	
11:00 (Meeting with Tom
12:25	
13:00	
14:00	
15:00	
16:00	
17:00	
18:00	
19:00	
20:00	
21:00	
22:00	
23:00	

😑 --- 🏢 New Details Go To

PalmOS 1.0 (DateBook)

PalmOS 5.2 (Calendar)



The Mobile Environment

- Limitations (compared to traditional computing)
 - Memory, battery power, CPU power, erratic (expensive) connectivity
 - Improving but lagging still
- Different usage paradigms
 - Input/output
 - Speed, ease of use, frequent but brief usage
 - E.g. Check schedule
 - Reports show that users rarely install applications on mobile devices
 - Applications need to cater to users' needs throughout the device's lifetime



A Dynamic Environment

- Heterogeneity!
 - Device/Hardware (Physical)
 - Software/Middleware (Logical)
 - Network
- Changes to the environment
 -=> Changes to application requirements.



Self - Organisation

- System adaptation to accommodate changes to its requirements
- Suitability for mobility
- Allows systems to gain new functionality

 Reacting to changes
- Approaches
 - Expert Systems
 - Genetic Algorithms



Logical Mobility

- Ability to sent parts of an application (or migrate/clone a process) to another host
- Popularised by Java
- Classification into paradigms
 - Client/Server (CS)
 - Remote Evaluation (REV)
 - Code on Demand (COD)
 - Mobile Agents (MA)
- Various middleware (mobile & stationary) systems exploit this



Components

- Component = functionality
- Coarse-grained guide
- Monolithism vs Componentisation
- Collocation vs Distribution
 - Complexity
 - Size
 - Networking
 - Autonomy



SATIN

- System Adaptation Targeting Integrated Networks
- Component Model & Middleware
- Minimal Footprint
- Interaction & Autonomy



Component Model Outline

- Local Component Model
- Distribution Built into the Model
 - But not components
 - Using Logical Mobility
- Applications and the system itself are components



Components

- Encapsulation of functionality
- Facets
- Properties & Attributes
 - Extensible
 - Heterogeneity (Debian)
 - Identifier, Versioning, Dependencies
 - <ID, "identifier">
 - <VER, version number>
 - <DEP, dependencies>



Container

- Component Specialisation
- Registry/host of components

 References to all components
- One on each instance
- Dynamic Registration/Removal (delegated)
 - Registrars can have different policies



Distribution and Logical Mobility

- Provided by the model as a service
- Logical Mobility Entities
- Logical Mobility Units
- Reflective Components
- Deployer



Logical Mobility Entities & Units

- Logical Mobility Entity (LME)
 Generalisation of class, object, data
- Logical Mobility Unit (LMU)
 - Composition of LMEs
 - Attributes & Properties
 - Handler
 - Fine grained mobility



Reflective Components

- Components that can be changed
 - LMU Recipients
 - The Container is Reflective
 - Inspect LMUs
 - Acceptance
 - Rejection
 - Partial Acceptance



Deployer

- Component Specialisation
- At least one in each instance - Advertised
- Abstracting sending/receiving/requesting LMUs
- Uses attributes for matching
- Synchronous and Asynchronous primitives



Model: Recap





Middleware

- Advertising & Discovery
 - Advertisable Components
 - Advertising message
 - Advertiser Components
 - Register Advertisable Components
 - Discovery Components
 - Register Listeners



Logical Mobility

- Finer Grained
- Not only Components, but Classes/Objects
 - Patching
- Logical Mobility as a computational paradigm



Implementation

- Some Numbers:
 - Prototype
 - 62K dist/satin-20030714.jar
 - 24K lib/kxml2.jar
 - 40K lib/µcode.jar



Example Application: Dynamic Launcher

- Similar in Functionality to PDA Launchers
- Installs Components from multiple sources
 - Centralised Source, p2p...
 - Uses any discovery components installed to find components available
 - Uses Deployer to request and receive components
- Transparent update
 - Using any Discovery components installed and Deployer to find and install updates



Dynamic Launcher [2]





Dynamic Launcher [3]

Capabilities X	
STN:MULTADUDISC (0_aduorticable_disabled) STN:LAUNC Filename? /tmp/CentralDiscAdv.class[STN:CAI Load Cancel STN:LMDC (0, advertisable, extendable, enabled) STN:LMDC (0, advertisable, extendable, enabled) STN:MONITORREG (0, enabled) STN:CAPABILITYREADER (0, enabled)	Capabilities Capabilities STN:LAUNCHER (0, extendable, application, enabled STN:LMUFactory (0, enabled) STN:INSTALLER (0, application, enabled) STN:CAPABILITYREADER (0, enabled) STN:MONITORREG (0, enabled) STN:MULTADVDISC (0, advertisable, disabled)
	STN:CENTRALDISCADV (0, enabled)

STN:LMDC (0, advertisable, extendable, enabled)



More Numbers

- Times
 - Startup Time on PDA: 21 seconds
 - Memory Usage on PDA: 1155KB
 - Update to PDA from peer: 2063 ms



Related Work

- Logical Mobility Middleware
 - Limited Use of LM
 - System Reconfiguration (UIC, ReMMoC)
 - Too Specific (Lime, PeerWare, Jini, XMIDDLE)
 - Not geared for mobility
 - Disconnections pre-announced (Fargo-DA)
 - Fixed advertising and discovery (one.world)



Related Work (2)

- Component Model Systems
 - Distributed ones unsuitable
 - Large
 - No autonomy (P2PComp, PCOM)
 - Local Component Models
 - Distribution as a service
 - Heterogeneity
 - Some make a distinction between Component providers and consumers (Beanome/OSGi)



Future Work

- Re-implementation
- More Testing
- Applications



Conclusion

- The SATIN Component model
 - Distribution as a service
 - Attributes for heterogeneity
 - Applications & System: interconnected local components
 - Reconfiguration of Local Components
- The SATIN Middleware System
 - Componentised Advertising and Discovery
 - Logical Mobility as a Computational Primitive